

جميع حقوق الطبع محفوظة © ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, lectronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording or by any information torage and retrieval system, without the written permission of the publisher.

First Edition: June 2007 Supervised by: Abdul Malik Mujahid

HEAD OFFICE

P.O. Box: 22743, Riyadh 11416 K.S.A.Tel: 0096 -1-4033962/4043432 Fax: 4021659 E-mail: darussalam@awalnet.net.sa, riyadh@dar-us-salam.com Website: www.dar-us-salam.com

K.S.A. Darussalam Showrooms: Rivadh

Olaya branch: Tel 00966-1-4614483 Fax: 4644945 Malaz branch: Tel 00966-1-4735220 Fax: 4735221 Suwailam branch: Tel & Fax-1-2860422

Jeddah

Tel: 00966-2-6879254 Fax: 6336270

Madinah

Tel: 00966-04-8234446, 8230038 Fax: 04-8151121

Al-Khobar

Tel: 00966-3-8692900 Fax: 8691551

Khamis Mushayt

Tel & Fax: 00966-072207055

- Yanbu Al-Bahr Tel: 0500887341 Fax: 04-3908027
- Al-Buraida Tel: 0503417156 Fax: 06-3696124

U.A.E

Darussalam, Sharjah U.A.E
 Tel: 00971-6-5632623 Fax: 5632624
 Sharjah@dar-us-salam.com.

PAKISTAN

- Darussalam, 36 B Lower Mall, Lahore Tel: 0092-42-724 0024 Fax: 7354072
- Rahman Market, Ghazni Street, Urdu Bazar Lahore Tel: 0092-42-7120054 Fax: 7320703
- Karachi, Tei: 0092-21-4393936 Fax: 4393937
- Islamabad, Tel: 0092-51-2500237 Fax: 512281513

U.S.A

Darussalam, Houston
P.O Box: 79194 Tx 77279
Tel: 001-713-722 0419 Fax: 001-713-722 0431
E-mail: houston@dar-us-salam.com

 Darussalam, New York 486 Atlantic Ave, Brooklyn New York-11217, Tel: 001-718-625 5925
 Fax: 718-625 1511
 E-mail: darussalamny@hotmail.com

U.K

 Darussalam International Publications Ltd. Leyton Business Centre

Unit-17, Etioe Road, Leyton, London, E10 7BT Tei: 0044 20 8539 4885 Fax:0044 20 8539 4889 Website: www.darussalam.com Email: Info@darussalam.com

 Derussalem International Publications Limited Regents Park Mosque, 146 Park Road London NW8 7RG Tel: 0044- 207 725 2246 Fax: 0044 20 8539 4889

AUSTRALIA

 Darussalam: 153, Haldon St, Lakemba (Sydney) NSW 2195, Australia Tel: 0061-2-97407188 Fax: 0061-2-97407199 Mobile: 0061-414580813 Res: 0061-2-97580190 Email: abumuaaz@hotamail.com

CANADA

Islamic Book Service

2200 South Sheridan way Mississauga, On L5J 2M4 Tel: 001-905-403-8406 Ext. 218 Fax: 905-8409

HONG KONG

Peacetech

A2, 4/F Tsim Sha Mansion 83-87 Nathan Road Tsimbatsui Kowloon, Hong Kong 100852 2369 2722 Fax: 00852-23692944 Mobile: 00852 97123624

MALAYSIA

 Darussalam International Publication Ltd. No.109A, Jalan SS 21/1A, Damansara Utama, 47400, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Darul Ehsan, Malaysia Tel: 00603 7710 9750 Fax: 7710 0749
 E-mail: darussalm@streamyx.com

FRANCE

Editions & Librairie Essalam

135, Bd de Ménilmontant- 75011 Paris Tél: 0033-01- 43 38 19 56/ 44 83 Fax: 0033-01- 43 57 44 31 E-mail: essalam@essalam.com

SINGAPORE

Muslim Converts Association of Singapore

32 Onan Road The Galaxy Singapore- 424484 Tel: 0065-440 6924, 348 8344 Fax: 440 6724

SRI LANKA

Darul Kitab 6, Nimal Road, Colombo-4
 Tel: 0094 115 358712 Fax: 115-358713

INDIA

 Islamic Books International 54, Tandel Street (North) Dongri, Mumbai 4000 09, INDIA Tel: 0091-22-2373 6875, 2373 0689 E-mail: ibi@iff.net

SOUTH AFRICA

Islamic Da'wah Movement (IDM)

48009 Qualbert 4078 Durban,South Africa Tel: 0027-31-304-6883 Fax: 0027-31-305-1292 E-mail: idm@ion.co.za



English Translation of

Sahîh Muslim

Volume 1

Compiled by:

Imâm Abul Hussain Muslim bin al-Hajjaj

Translated by:

Nasiruddin al-Khattab (Canada)

Edited by:

Huda Khattab (Canada)

Final review by:

Abu Khaliyl (USA)



DARUSSALAM GLOBAL LEADER IN ISLAMIC BOOKS

Riyadh • Jeddah • Al-Khobar • Sharjah Lahore • London • Houston • New York



In the Name of Allâh, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful

© Maktaba Dar-us-Salam, 2007
King Fahd National Library Catalog-in-Publication Data Al-Imam Muslim

Sahih muslim./ Al-Imam muslim- Riyadh-2007

624p, 14x21 cm ISBN: 9960-9919-0-3 (set) 9960-9919-8-9 (Vol.1)

1-Al-Hadith

II-Title 1428/2360

235.1dc

Legal Deposit no.1428/2360

ISBN: 9960-9919-0-3 9960-9919-8-9 (Vol.1)

Contents

Publisher's Foreword		
A Life Sketch of Imâm Muslim		
Preserving the Revelation		
	g The Qur'an (Revelation Recited)	19
Preservation of <i>Ḥadîth</i> (Revelation Unrecited)		21
Basis of the Ummah's greatness and honour		22
Zeal to acquire knowledge		24
Perfect system of preservation		25
Comparison and Evaluation of Aḥâdîth		25
Al-Imâm Muslim		27
Written works		28
	shing features of Saḥiḥ Muslim	29
Criterion	of selection	30
Unbroken chain of authentication		35
Imâm M	uslim welcomed criticism	36
Introduction	by Imâm Muslim	39
Chapter 1.	The Obligation Of Narrating From The Trustworthy And Ignoring	
_	The Liars; And The Warning Against Telling Lies About The	
	Messenger Of Allâh ﷺ	43
Chapter 2.	The Seriousness Of Telling Lies About The Messenger of Allâh 🗯	45
Chapter 3.	The Prohibition Of Speaking Of Everything That One Hears	46
Chapter 4.	The Prohibition Of Narrating From Da'ff (Weak) Narrators, And	
	Being Cautious With Them	48
Chapter 5.	Clarification That The Chain Of Narration Is Part Of The Religion, And Reports Should Only Be Narrated From Those Who Are Trustworthy, And That Critical Assessment And Evaluation of Narrators For Things That Are True Is Permissible And Is In Fact Obligatory; And That Doing So Is Not Backbiting That Is Forbidden,	
	Rather It Is Defending The Honorable <u>Sh</u> arî'ah	53
Chapter 6.	The Correctness Of Using Mu'an'an Aḥâdûth As Proof When It	
	Can Be Proven That The Narrators Met One Another And There	=0
	Is No Mudallas Among Them	79
	1. The Book Of Faith	
Chapter 1.	Explaining Al-Îmân (Faith), Al-Islâm, And Al-Iḥsân, And The Obligations Of Al-Imân With Affirmation Of The Qadar Of Allâh, Glorious And Most High Is He. And Explaining The Evidence For Declaring One's Innocence Of One Who Does Not Believe In Al-Qadar, And Having A Harsh View Of His Case	92
Chapter:	What Is Al-Îmân (Faith)? Explaining Its Characteristics	96

7

The Permissibility Of Performing All The Prayers With One Wudû' .. 400

It Is Disliked For The Person Who Wants To Perform Wudû', And

Chapter 25.

Chapter 26.

فهرس

	.	->4-
Chapter 33.	Reciting Out Aloud In As-Subh And Reciting To The Jinn	561
Chapter 34.	The Recitation For Zuhr And 'Aşr	
Chapter 35.	Recitation In Aṣ-Ṣubḥ	
Chapter 36.	Recitation During 'Ishâ'	574
Chapter 37.	The Command To The Imâm To Make The Prayer Brief But Complete	577
Chapter 38.	Moderation In All Pillars Of The Prayer, And Making It Brief Yet Complete	
Chapter 39.	Following The <i>Imâm</i> And Acting After Him	
Chapter 40.	What Is To Be Said When Raising One's Head From Bowing	
Chapter 41.	The Prohibition Of Reciting The Qur'an While Bowing And Prostrating	
Chapter 42.	What Is To Be Said While Bowing And Prostrating.	
Chapter 43.	The Virtue Of Prostration And Encouragement To Do So	
Chapter 44.	The Limbs Of Prostration And The Prohibition Of Tucking Up One's Hair And Garment Or Having One's Hair In A Braid When Praying	
Chapter 45.	Moderation In Prostration; Placing The Hands On The Ground, Keeping The Elbows Up, Away From The Sides, And Lifting The Belly Up Off The Thighs When Prostrating	
Chapter 46.	The Description Of The Prayer, With What It Begins And Ends. The Description Of Bowing And Moderation Therein, And Of Prostration And Moderation Therein. <i>Tashah-hud</i> After Each Two Rak'ah Of Four Rak'ah Prayers. Description Of Sitting Between The Two Prostrations, And In The First <i>Tashah-hud</i>	
Chapter 47.	The Sutrah (Screen) For One Who Is Praying, And The Recommendation To Pray Facing A Sutrah. The Ruling On Passing In Front Of One Who Is Praying, And Preventing One Who Wants To Pass In Front. It Is Permissible To Lie Down In Front Of One Who Is Praying. Praying Towards One's Mount. The Command To Stand Close To The Sutrah. The Height Of The Sutrah, And Related Matters.	
Chapter 48.	Preventing One Who Wants To Pass In Front Of A Praying Person	
Chapter 49.	The Praying Person Standing Close To The Sutrah	
Chapter 50.	The Height Of That Which Serves As A Sutrah For The One Who Is Praying	
Chapter 51.	Lying In Front Of One Who Is Praying	
Chapter 52.	Praying In A Single Garment, And How It Should Be Worn	

14

... ...

Contents

Publisher's Foreword

Islam has given two reliable pathways for the guidance of mankind. One of them is the clear Verses of Qur'ân while the other, quite consistent with the first, is what we call *Sunnah* or *Hadîth*.

To explain and interpret its basic and brief teachings, Qur'ân has itself mentioned the need for *Sunnah* and the Prophet's model lifestyle. The latter explains the former. The *Sunnah* is an indispensable guide to understand the commandments and passages of Qur'ân. Without *Aḥâdîth*, the entire faith and the entire *Shari'ah* (Islamic Law) will become no better than a riddle. It is to be noted that the wealth of expository material relating to *Shari'ah*, as found in *Aḥâdîth*, was also given to the Prophet by Allâh through the archangel Gabriel (Jibra'il). This is why Qur'ân is called Revelation Recited (*Wahy Matlu*) while the *Hadîth* is called Revelation Unrecited (*Wahy Ghair Matlu*).

The Arabic word $\underline{\mathcal{H}adith}$ means: conversation, account, narrative, report, and also novel or modern. But as a technical term, however, it means the deeds, utterances, circumstances and matters of Allâh's Messenger or, in other words, whatever has come down to us concerning Allâh's Messenger and his mission (through his Companions and their followers). $\underline{\mathcal{H}adith}$ is also called $\underline{\mathcal{S}unnah}$ (Tradition), $\underline{\mathcal{A}khba}$ (information, report, communication, and message) and $\underline{\mathcal{A}thar}$ (track, trace, sign, mark). $\underline{\mathcal{H}adith}$ may be verbal, or practical or just tacit (that is, when the Prophet did not object to some thing, thereby giving his tacit approval). However, some include even the Prophet's $\underline{\mathcal{S}hama}$ (his physical descriptions, natural disposition and habits) under the general heading of $\underline{\mathcal{H}adith}$.

The authority of *Ḥadîth*, its truth and its position in *Shari'ah* is indisputable. The proper art of writing began soon after the Prophet began his mission. The Verses of the Revelation "Who has taught by the pen," and "Nûn; by the pen and by what they write (therewith)," gave impetus to the art of writing during the era of the Prophet. The people of Arabia who boasted of a retentive memory and their ability to reproduce with accuracy what they

^[1] Al-'Alaq 96:1.

^[2] Al-Qalam 68:4.

committed to memory now began to set down what they learnt in writing. We have come to know from varied sources that the scribes of Qur'ân totalled more than fifty in numbers. As for the narration and recording of $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$, a much wider and more elaborate system evolved in the succeeding ages.

The things being set down in writing, in addition to Qur'ân, during the Prophet's era were: official letters of the Islamic state, the Prophet's letters, the State constitution, the Prophet's sermons, treaties, deeds of gift, writs guaranteeing security, census, writs of manumission of slaves, names of governors and officials appointed for different regions and provinces, state instructions and guidelines, details of revenues and expenditures of *Baitul-Mâl* (Exchequer), and the *Aḥâdîth* based on what the Companions saw the Prophet do or heard him say. All these documents, written down with great care and accuracy, make an invaluable treasure. Moreover, we have authentic details that prove the Companions recorded *Aḥâdîth* carefully and responsibly.

On many an occasion did the Prophet si instruct his Companions to put in writing what he said. For example, the Farewell pilgrimage sermon was set down in writing at the request of Abu Shah of Yemen.

These traditions dating back to the era of the Prophet , and his Companions set in motion a trend that continued during the successive generations of Muslims till an elaborate science of <code>Hadîth</code> blossomed forth with many technical terms like <code>Riwâyah</code> (narration), <code>Dirâyah</code> (cognizance), <code>Al-Jarḥu wat-Ta'dil</code> (Science of Validation of <code>Aḥâdîth</code>), terms that gave a scientific dimension to this branch of knowledge ensuring the safeguarding, preservation, and interpretation of <code>Aḥâdîth</code>. The Biographies of Narrators (<code>Asmâ'ur-Rijâl</code>), a branch of <code>Ḥadîth</code> sciences, holds a prominent place among the arts and sciences developed by mankind. It is about this branch of knowledge that German Orientalist Dr Springer, while editing <code>Al-Iṣâbaḥ</code> fi <code>Tamizis-Ṣaḥâbah</code>, remarked: "No nation in the past or in modern times ever invented an outstanding science like <code>Asmâ'ur-Rijâl</code> that provides information about five hundred thousand Muslims."

Of course, we concede that the enemies of Islam, hypocrites and liars fabricated some $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{\imath}th$ in an attempt to spread them. But, then, the $Had\hat{\imath}th$ scholars goaded by faith and endowed with keen observation, knowledge, and comprehension, while using scientific methods of inquiry, challenged and eliminated those fabrications from the treasure of (genuine) $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{\imath}th$. No doubt, it was a notable achievement worthy of praise in the annals of human knowledge. Here is an incident illustrating the scholars' achievement in this field:

The Abbasid caliph Ḥârun Rashid (170 AH-193 AH) had a disbeliever arrested for fabricating Aḥâdîth and ordered his execution. The disbeliever said to the king: "O Commander of the Faithful, how will you deal with those four

thousand Ahâdîth I have already fabricated, making lawful things unlawful and the unlawful lawful although not a word in those Ahâdîth was ever spoken by Allâh's Messenger." Thereupon, the king retorted: "O enemy of Allâh, how can you escape from Abû Ishâq Fazâri and 'Abdullâh bin Mubârak? They will sieve them out and eliminate them letter by letter."

17

It is a well-known fact that the painstaking efforts of scholars gave Aḥâdîth a high degree of reliability next to Qur'an. When the Ahadath had been read over carefully, revised, corrected and expounded in the light of the principles of Hadîth sciences like Jarh (invalidation), Ta'dil (validation), and Asmâ'ur-Rijâl, the stage for the recording of Ahâdîth was set. Thanks to the exhausting efforts of Hadîth scholars and their deep sense of responsibility, the Muslim nation got, in addition to Sihâh Sittah (the Sound Six books of Hadîth) a vast treasure that included Musannafât (Hadîth compilations according to subject-headings), Jawâmi' (comprehensive books of Ahâdîth), Sunan (collections of legal and doctrinal Ahâdîth), Masânîd (Hadîth compilations according to complete chains), Ma'âjim (compilations arranged according to names of Companions or regions or names of teachers of compilers), Mustadrakât (compilations of Ahâdîth meeting the conditions of other compilers but not found in their books), and Mustakhrajât (compilations containing Ahâdîth of other compilers with different chains of narration), the manuscripts of which - numbering in thousands - researched, edited and furnished with explanatory and marginal notes, exist even today in international libraries attracting the attention of research scholars. Anyhow, among those compilations, the six canonical books of Ahâdîth (Sihâh Sittah) rank as the centerpiece.

I have a wish since long to present the Sound Six (Sihâh Sittah) translated into modern English in such a way as to list the inferences and gainful conclusions drawn from each Hadîth to remove any misgiving and misunderstanding, satisfying the mind and soul, and reinforcing the faith. With this noble cause in view, Darussalam has solicited the services of several learned scholars and researchers who are working with zeal on the translation of the Sound Six and writing commentaries on them.

All the Ahâdîth of this collection have been documented, referenced and traced by the great research scholar, Ḥâfiz Zubair 'Ali Za'i.

'Abdul-Mâlik Mujâhid

Servant of Qur'ân and Sunnah Director, Darussalam Riyadh and Lahore. **Note:** One thing I want to mention is that in these collections, the original Arabic texts of the *Aḥâdîth* contain complete chain of narrators while in translation, for the sake of simplicity, only the name of the first narrator is mentioned who narrated the *Hadîth* from the Prophet ...

18

As regards the collection, Sahîh Muslim, the readers will find three numbers with each Hadîth. The first one in brackets is introduced by Darussalam. It begins with the first Hadîth and continues to the last. The second number denotes the Hadîth number according to the various books mentioned in Sahîh Muslim. If this number is to be written for reference, then the name of the book must be written with it, for example if you see chapter 2, it is cited as: [2]1-(1), Here the [2] is serial # introduced by Darussalam, '1' is the first Hadîth of this chapter, and '(1)' is the number given by Fuwâd 'Abdul Bâqi. This number is used mainly while giving references like, Sahîh Muslim: 3; or Ṣahîh Muslim, Hadîth: 3. In this system, with each new Hadîth, Fuwâd 'Abdul-Bâqi allotted a new number, while the other versions of the same Hadîth with different chains have been given without any number.

A Life Sketch of Imâm Muslim

Preserving the Revelation

Allâh, the Most High, sent Revelation through His Messengers to different peoples at different times for their guidance, but none of their peoples clung to the Revelation sent to them tenaciously enough to be able to keep it in its pristine purity. Through the entire history of mankind, none other than Muslims guarded, by grace of Allâh, the Revelation received by them and preserved it intact, both by committing it to memory and in writing. In addition to the Revelation—the Qur'ân, Muslims did the same with Ahâdûth of Prophet Muḥammad which is also known as Unrecited Revelation. In order to protect and keep it safe, they evolved a unique system that made any type of tampering or interpolation impossible. This system of guarding and preserving, evolved by grace of Allâh, rules out, by its very nature, every likelihood of omission or neglect.

Preserving The Qur'an (Revelation Recited)

Allâh said:

"Verily, We, it is We Who have sent down the *Dhikr* (i.e., the Qur'ân) and surely We will guard it (from corruption)."^[1]

The Qur'ân was revealed to a Messenger who was unlettered. His people (*Ummah*), too, were mostly unlettered. The Qur'ân was revealed, little by little, a few Verses each time, making it easy to commit it to writing as well as memorizing. Moreover, since this Revealed Guidance was for all mankind and for all times, one could not benefit by it fully or act by it unless it was easy to remember and easy to understand. So Allâh made it easy:

"And We have indeed made the Qur'an easy to understand and remember; then is there any that will remember (or receive admonition)?" [2]

^{11]} Sûrat Al-Ḥijr 15?9.

^[2] Sûrat Al-Qamar 54:22.

Just as the Qur'ân, before it was revealed to mankind, had been on honoured, exalted, Preserved Tablet in the hands of noble and righteous angels, so did the Messenger of Allâh , charge revered, righteous and honest scribes, memorizers and reciters from among his own Companions to preserve it. [1]

The Messenger of Allâh , promised, in clear terms, a similar reward to the later generations: The similitude of the one who recites the Qur'ân and takes care of it is that of one who keeps the company of honoured, righteous scribes (i.e. angels). There were among the foremost Companions as well as among other Companions who would recite the Qur'ân from memory. Later on, when Abû Bakr prepared the canonical copy of the Scripture, unanimously acknowledged to be correct as to script, spelling and arrangement of chapters, he called memorizers and reciters of Qur'ân from among the Companions to bear witness as to the authenticity and correctness of each chapter and each Verse and each word of the Qur'ân. It is to be noted that the script they used to write the Qur'ân with, has ever endured across centuries to this day, even though their style of writing differs sometimes from the standard principles of writing in vogue today, yet another manifestation of popular reverence for the scribes of the Divine Revelation.

In order to transcribe the Revelation with integrity, the Messenger of Allâh , trained his scribes. Zaid bin <u>Th</u>âbit says: "I used to write down the revealed Verses....when I had finished writing, he would ask me to read it to him. If the Messenger of Allâh, detected an error, he would correct it. Only then did I communicate it to others." [3]

The Messenger of Allâh ﷺ, also forbade scribes writing anything they heard from him into the text of the Qur'ân. The purpose was to keep the Qur'ân pure and unmixed, clear of extraneous things.^[4]

The Qur'ân was recited again and again, prayer after prayer, before the congregation of worshippers. The Companions used to recite it themselves as well as hear it from each other. This practice began from the very first day of the Revelation and has been continuing ever since. Even today there are hundreds of thousands of people who remember the Qur'ân by heart and recite it in public day and night. This rules out any doubt as to the accuracy of the Qur'ân. There is absolutely no likelihood of any addition or diminution, tampering or alteration or interpolation in the text of the Noble Qur'ân as it was revealed to the Prophet ...

More astonishing than these measures were those that Allâh Himself took to secure the Qur'ân. In the whole cosmos an intensive network of tight security was laid by divine command. Right from heaven to earth, angels were placed on guard to prevent devils from eavesdropping or overhearing until it reached the heart of Allâh's Messenger. The *jinn* (genii) were made powerless to do any mischief, according to their own confession:

21

"And we have sought to reach the heaven; but found it filled with stern guards and flaming fires. And verily, we used to sit there in stations, to (steal) hearing, but any who listens now will find a flaming fire watching him in ambush..."^[1]

At the end of the chapter of Jinn, Allâh says:

"(He Alone is) the All-Knower of the *Ghaib* (Unseen), and He reveals to none His *Ghaib* (Unseen). Except to a Messenger (from mankind) whom He has chosen (He informs him of the Unseen as much as He likes), and then He makes a band of watching guards (angels) to march before him and behind him. He (Allâh) protects them (the Messengers)], till He sees that they (the Messengers) have conveyed the Messages of their Lord (Allâh). And He (Allâh) surrounds all that which is with them, and He (Allâh) keeps count of all things (i.e. He knows the exact number of everything). [2]

Preservation of *Ḥadîth* (Revelation Unrecited)

As for the <u>Hadîth</u> (which is, Revelation Unrecited), Allâh charged the <u>Ummah</u> to preserve it. The Messenger of Allâh , said: "May Allâh make the man flourish who hears from me a <u>Hadîth</u>, commits it to memory and then communicates it (to others)." [3]

^[1] See Jâmi'ul-Bayân 30/69.

^[2] Sahîh Al-Bukhârî: Hadîth 4937.

^[3] Al-Mu'jam Al-Kabeer by At-Tabarânî 5/142, Hadîth no. 3889.

^[4] Sahîh Muslim: Ḥadîth no. 7510.

¹¹¹ Sûrat Al-Jinn 72:8,9.

¹²¹ Sûrat Al-Jinn 72:26-28.

^[3] Jâmi' At-Tirmidhî, Hadîth no. 2656.

No *Ummah* in history has so successfully preserved the sayings of its Messenger as the Muslims have. Indeed, none has given a fraction of that care to its revealed book which the Muslims gave to the preservation of the sayings and actions of their Messenger . In the whole history of mankind, there has never ever been an example similar to the system evolved by Muslims to preserve the *Ḥadīth* and *Sunan* of the Messenger of Allâh ...

The Verses of the Qur'ân were committed both to memory and to writing as they were revealed but the sayings of Allâh's Messenger were committed to memory only and, later, communicated to those not present at the moment. Documents and necessary instructions were written for those who needed them, at the Messenger of Allâh's bidding. For example, Imâm Bukhârî relates that Abû Shah , a Companion living in Yemen, requested the Messenger for a written copy of the sermon he had delivered on the day of the conquest of Makkah dealing with the inviolability of Makkah and with the blood-money. The Messenger said: "Write (it) down for Abû Shah." [1]

When the system of writing the Qur'ân had developed well and stabilized, the Companions were allowed to note down all the sayings of the Messenger of Allâh, , without distinction. 'Abdullâh bin 'Amr anarrates: "I used to note down each and every thing I heard from the Messenger of Allâh, and liked to memorize it. The Quraysh said to me: 'You write down everything you hear from the Messenger of Allâh. The Messenger of Allâh is a human being. He speaks both in anger and pleasure'. So I stopped writing. I told the Messenger of Allâh, about it. He replied: 'Write. By the One in Whose Hands my soul is, nothing comes out from my mouth except the truth.'" [2]

Basis of the Ummah's greatness and honour

The Muslims broke free from the shackles of color, race and tribal prejudices and began to organize themselves into a great brotherhood which, in the course of two or three decades, became a super power of their times. They were the very people who had been paupers and wretched just a few years ago but were now the rulers of the most fertile regions of the world. Abû Hurairah , the most prolific narrator of Ahâdîth, says about himself: 'I grew up as an orphan, migrated as a poor man, labored for Bint Ghazwân in exchange for food enough to fill my stomach and a pair of shoes; I would sing to quicken the pace of camels as they rode on and gather wood as they dismounted. Praise be to Allâh who made faith the basis (of honor and greatness) and Abû Hurairah a

chieftain.'[1] He was also appointed governor of Al-Madînah and during the reign of Marwân bin Al-Ḥakam worked as his deputy in Al-Madînah.

It is quite obvious that this great revolution in human history, inspired by unparalleled wisdom enshrined in the Qur'ân, owed itself to the lifestyle of the Messenger of Allâh , who had set a model for his Companions. The Qur'ân and the sayings and actions of Allâh's Messenger became an inalienable part of the Companions' lives. They were given the responsibility to remember the Ahâdîth and communicate them to others. Hence, in this new social setup, the most honored among them were those who modelled their lives on the Qur'ân and Sunnah, and taught others the same. Each Companion became a repository of knowledge, a virtual academy by himself. Masses thronged to them, listened to Ahâdîth, and sought guidance and knowledge from them. The closer a Companion was to the Messenger of Allâh , or the more one remembered his sayings, the greater his stature as a reference-guide.

Many a person eager to seek knowledge would travel long distances and come from far-off places to the Mothers of the Believers, especially 'Âishah &, to hear <code>Hadîth</code> from them and receive answers to their many questions. Great care was taken not to let anyone, even if he be a Companion, relate a <code>Hadîth</code> on the authority of Allâh's Messenger ﷺ, unless it was <code>Mahfûz</code> (Conforming). [2] For example, Abû Mûsâ Al-Ash'arî & narrated that the Messenger of Allâh ﷺ, had said that one should seek permission to enter a house and, in case one does not receive a response, one should turn back. 'Umar & asked him to bring a witness. Abû Mûsâ went to a place where the <code>Ansâr</code> used to gather. He asked them if anyone of them could bear witness. They offered to send the youngest of them, Abû Sa'eed Al-Khudhri &. He came and testified that the <code>Hadîth</code> was genuine. [3]

It is to be noted that 'Umar & did not doubt Abû Mûsâ's narration, but he did so as a matter of principle and precaution.

Even in the times of monarchy following the era of the Caliphate, the Companions would narrate the *Ahâdîth* of Allâh's Messenger to the common masses as well as the rulers. None could dare restrain preachers admonishing people through the sayings of the Messenger of Allâh because the society, especially the Companions, could never keep quiet over any attempt to hide the sayings of Allâh's Messenger, come what may. Abû <u>Dh</u>arr Al-Ghiffârî , on seeing the rulers' dislike for those monitoring their conduct or narrating the *Ahâdîth* of Allâh's Messenger, said openly: "If you place a sharp-cutting sword on

^[1] Sahîh Al-Bukhârî, Ḥadîth no. 6880, Sahîh Muslim: Ḥadîth no. 3305

^[2] Al-Mausû'ah Al-Ḥadîthiya (Musnad Aḥmad) 11/59. The chain of narration is authentic and its narrators are trustworthy.

^[1] Ta<u>dh</u>kiratul-Ḥuffâz: 1/29.

Hadîth whose narrator is trustworthy and does not contradict another narrator more trustworthy than himself. It is the antonym of Shâth (Contradicting).

^[3] Sahîh Al-Bukhârî, no. 2062.

it (pointing to his nape) and I felt that I could narrate a Hadîth I had heard from Allâh's Messenger # before the sword could pierce my neck, I would do so."[1]

Zeal to acquire knowledge

Faith depends on the Qur'an and Sunnah. In those times, knowledge was synonymous with th Qur'an and Sunnah. Moreover, learned scholars were honored and revered. They were the polestars and reference-guides for the people, a fact that produced a universal impulse to acquire knowledge. Towards the end of the first century and the beginning of the second century of the Hijra calendar, caravans of Hadith students on their way to centers of learning were a common sight. Mosques and houses of teachers became schools of learning. Sometimes, students numbered hundreds and even more. Pen, inkpot and paper in hands, their occupation was to hear Ahâdîth and note them down. Often a teacher would narrate Ahâdîth from memory while someone, often a pupil, would have also a transcript copy of the Ahâdîth in front of him. In this process of learning, memorization and writing went together, the latter a means of ratification. In this process, the listener would write the word *Ḥaddathana* (he narrated to us) followed by the name of the teacher followed by the chain of narrators (Sanad).

Sometimes, a person other than the teacher used to read out the collection of Ahâdîth. In this case, the listener would write the Hadîth beginning with the word Akhbarana (he informed us).

If a teacher spotted a talented student, he would give him permission to narrate his collection of Ahâdîth without his having listened to all those Ahâdîth first. This form of permission was known as Munawalah or Ijazah (licence or permission). In such a case, he would narrate or write those Ahâdîth, beginning the chain of narration with the word Anba'ana (he informed us). [2] Ijâzah was a kind of permission, oral or written, given by teacher to student authorizing him to relate some particular book or books of the teacher or his Sound narrations. According to the system of Munawalah or 'Ardh, an expert Hadîth memorizer, in order to benefit by the teacher, would present a written collection (of Ahâdîth) to him. The teacher would review and check it and, when he was sure it contained only the Ahâdîth narrated by him, would say to the student: 'I know the collection you gave me. I recognized its contents. They are my own narrations from my teachers (Sheikhs). You may narrate them on my behalf.' Imâm Hâkim says that the *Hadîth* scholars (*Muhaddith*) of Al-Madînah, Makkah, Kûfa, Syria, Egypt, Khorâsân and other places gave this kind of permission to their students.

Imâm Mâlik was once asked whether he had directly listened to all the Ahâdîth he narrated. He replied: 'Some of them were those I listened to directly from my Sheikhs, and some I received through 'Ardh (Munâwalah). Anyhow, we believe that the latter is in no way inferior in quality than the former.'[1]

Perfect system of preservation

All these methods of learning and teaching Ahâdîth prove that, right from the beginning, great care was taken to safeguard and preserve the Ahâdîth. Even during the era of Allah's Messenger &, measures were taken to preserve Ahâdîth, the Unrecited Revelation, by writing as well as by memorization. There is no nation on the whole earth that can match the way the Muslims memorize the Our'an. Great scholars of the world are wonder-struck how the Our'an remained preserved in the memory of countless men across centuries. Today those who remember the Qur'an by heart number in the millions. There has never been a tradition in any community to memorize their basic book of faith nor did they ever have the courage to do so. It is the Muslim people alone whose ancestors evolved a system of preserving the Qur'an as well as Ahadûth over the centuries, a system that inspires awe and respect.

How the Companions preserved the sayings of Allâh's Messenger perfectly well is best illustrated by an example. Of all the Companions, the most prolific narrator of Ahâdîth was Abû Hurairah who died in 58 AH. Young Companions like Ibn 'Umar, Ibn 'Abbâs, Jâbir and Anas & nararated Ahâdîth on the authority of Abû Hurairah and, according to Imâm-Bukhârî, the Tâba'în (followers of the Companions) who narrated from him during his lifetime numbered over eight hundred.^[2] Among his pupils was Hammâm bin Munabbah who penned down all the narrations of Abû Hurairah. If we compare the collection of Hammâm with the authentic Ahâdîth narrated by others at different times in the course of half a century, we find no difference between them. For as long as half a century, Abû Hurairah kept on repeating his Ahâdîth from his memory in the same words.

Comparison and Evaluation of Ahâdîth

This system of memorizing and writing continued to strengthen further and still further over the years. The Tâba'în heard the Ahâdîth from the Companions, memorized them, and recited them to groups of students who had themselves listened those Ahâdîth from the different pupils of the Companions and Tâba'în. They would compare the wordings of different narrators and verify them. In the

^[1] Sahîh Al-Bukhârî, Kitâbul-'Ilm, bâbul-'Ilm qablal-qaul wal 'amal.

^[2] Jâmi'ul-Usool li Ibn Kathîr: 39.

Jâmi'ul-Usool li Ibn Kathîr, 1:43.

^[2] Tadhkiratul-Huffâz 1/28,29.

course of this process, they came to know what texts of the Aḥâdîth had the greatest number of supporters from among those who had heard from the Companions and Tâba'în, what narrator is lone in what narration, and the reason thereof. There may be several reasons. For example, the teacher may have a weak memory in his old age. But, if most of his pupils during his old age related the same Hadîth like those who studied under him in his early years, the blame would fall on some sub-narrator. Moreover, frequent visits to centers of learning also revealed to students of Aḥâdîth the qualities of narrators: who had a high stature as to uprightness, trustworthiness, strength of memory, honesty, integrity and character, who is reasonably satisfactory, and who is weak in one or more than one foregoing qualities.

In case a <u>Hadîth</u> does not exist in the collections of high-grade narrators but it has been narrated by more than one narrator belonging to a lower grade with an authentic chain of sub-narrators, such a <u>Hadîth</u> shall be accepted by virtue of the fact that it has been endorsed by more than one <u>Maqbûl</u> (Accepted) narrator.

Ever since the age of Tâba'în, Ḥadîth students considered it necessary to write down, along with the chains of narrators, the views, comments and opinions of A'immah and Ḥuffâz of Aḥâdîth about the sub-narrators and other necessary things. You will note that every notable Ḥadîth scholar (Muḥaddith) collected not only the texts and the chains of narration but also composed books about each preceding sub-narrator, his habits and other characteristics. Details will soon follow.

Based on this rich information, there developed a consensus over the classes (Darajât) of narrators and, consequently, by agreement of scholars, narrators were divided into classes. Since this academic work was carried on with openness, transparency and a spirit of pure inquiry, research and scholarship of highest standard, it became impossible for anyone to hide one's bias for any particular viewpoint, dishonesty or negligence. Some who tried to circulate narrations of their own liking or interpolated words of their choice into Sound Ahâdîth were soon uncovered and their counterfeit coins put out of circulation. Just as in an open and free market, counterfeit coins are soon detected, so was each attempt to circulate fabricated Ahâdîth in this open field of academic research detected and foiled and the face of the fabricator unmasked. Proofs against fabricators were collected and records against them prepared. These records will remain till the Day of Judgment for the benefit of research scholars.

The goal of each $Had\hat{u}\underline{h}$ student was to hear, write and relate $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}\underline{h}$ coming down from narrators of the highest possible level $(Tabaga)^{[1]}$ with a minimum

number of sub-narrators. Students vied with each other vigorously to achieve this goal. Hence, any claim as to the trustworthiness or uprightness of a sub-narrator was put to the severest test. Any claim about a sub-narrator being higher (more trustworthy) than the other was met with strong challenge; hidden defects in the narration were sought out, every narration was very closely examined from every conceivable aspect, and every attempt was made to uncover even the slightest hidden defect in the chain of narration or text. It is these defects which are technically known as 'Ilal in the Ḥadith sciences and many a book has been compiled on this subject. In this field every one was free to raise objection just as the other one was quite equally free to defend with arguments, semantic or syntactical evidence or context (Qarînah) and witnesses. And according to this science of critical evaluation of Aḥâdith, each narration was accepted or rejected purely on its merit.

27

In this field, excellence was not based on color of skin or race or country. The scepter could go to Quraysh or a kinsman of Allâh's Messenger but the kingdom of *Ḥadîth* sciences belonged to Ath-Thawri, 'Abdullâh bin Mubârak, Moḥammad bin Ismâ'îl Al-Bukhârî, and Muslim bin Ḥajjâj An-Nîshâpûrî. It is to be noted that 'Abdullâh bin Mubârak was of Turkish descent, Moḥammad bin Ismâ'îl was of Persian descent, from Bukhârâ, ^[3] while Muslim bin Ḥajjâj an inhabitant of Nîshâpûr. This became possible because the *Ḥadîth* science was an open arena in which everyone had a right to compete with others, the verdict being in the hands of the majority of the participants.

Al-Imâm Muslim

Al-Imâm, Al-Ḥâfiz, Al-Ḥujjah 'Abul-Ḥussain Muslim bin Al-Ḥajjâj bin Ward bin Koshâdh Al-Qushayrî An-Nîshâpûrî was born in 202 or 204 or 206 AH in Nîshâpûr and educated in the same town. He heard Aḥâdîth the first time, at age eighteen, from Yaḥya bin Yaḥya Tamîmî. In 220 AH he went on pilgrimage to Makkah where he heard Aḥâdîth from 'Abdullâh bin Salamah Qa'nabi, the most revered pupil of Imâm Mâlik and the principal teacher of Imâm Muslim. [5] In Kûfa, he heard Aḥâdîth from Aḥmad bin Yûsuf and a host

^[1] Narrators and collectors of *Ḥadith* are classified into two main categories: 1) Era category, that is, according to the period in which they lived as well as the degree to which they were in touch with the renowned scholars of their times, the purpose being to discover the

continuity of the chain of narrators; 2) Reliability category, that is, the relative reliability of the narrators with respect to their retentive powers, moral character, political affiliation, fame or lack of it and their philosophical leanings. They are placed in classes of descending order like *Thiqah* (Trustworthy), *Suddûq* (Truthful) etc. Terms like Level and Class are interchangeable, used to denote any of the two categories.—Translator

^[1] Ta<u>dh</u>kiratul-Huffâz, Muqaddamah: 4.

^[2] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 8/379.

^[3] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/391.

^{|4|} Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/558.

^{15]} Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/558 and Tadhkiratul-Huffâz: 1/281.

of other teachers. In addition, he also heard from nearly 220 teachers in Makkah, Al-Madînah, 'Irâq and Egypt. The system of hearing Aḥâdîth in those times consisted in hearing and writing down Aḥâdîth along with their chains of narration. [1]

Among the renowned teachers of Muslim were Al-Imâm Aḥmad bin Ḥanbal, Aḥmad bin Mundhir Qazzâz, Isḥâq bin Rahwiyah, Ibrâhîm bin Sa'eed Jawhari, Ibrâhîm bin Mûsâ, Abû Isḥâq Râzî, Aḥmad bin Ibrâhîm, Isḥâq bin Mûsâ Anṣâri (Abû Mûsâ) Ismâ'îl bin Abû Owais, Ḥarmala bin Yaḥya (Abû Ḥafs Tajîbî) Ḥasan bin Rabî Burânî, Abû Bakr bin Abû Shaiba, Ya'qûb bin Ibrâhîm Dawraqî, Abû Zur'ah Râzî, Yaḥya bin Ma'een and other Ḥuffâz. [2]

The circumstances of his death, as recorded in Târîkh Baghdâd and Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ', are very strange indeed, and show his excessive passion for the science of Ḥadîth and his inordinate zeal for inquiry and research in this field. According to Aḥmad bin Salamah, a gathering was held to benefit by the knowledge of Imâm Muslim. There he heard a Ḥadîth which he had not heard before. When he came back home, he lit a lamp and told his family not to allow anyone to enter his room. His family told him about a gift they had received, a basketful of dates. He told them to bring it to him. Immersed in his search for that particular Ḥadîth, he kept on eating the dates, one by one, while he was absorbed in his search for the Ḥadîth. By early morning, he found the Ḥadîth but the basket was empty. It was for this reason, it is said, that he fell sick and died.

Imâm Muslim's business was in the district of Khân Maḥmash but the main source of his livelihood was his landed estate in the suburbs of Nîshâpûr. Imâm Hâkim said that he had seen his grandchildren (from his daughters). They told him that their father who had seen Imâm Muslim in Khân Maḥmash described the Imâm as a man of perfect stature, fair-complexioned, with a gray beard, a corner of his turban dangling on his back between his shoulders.^[3]

He died on the evening of Sunday, the 24th of Rajab, 261 AH. He was buried the next day in Nîshâpûr.^[4]

Written works

The following are the important works of Imâm Muslim as mentioned by Hâkim and other *Ḥadîth* scholars:

- Al-Asâmî wal kunâ
- 2. Kitâb Aṭ-Ṭabaqât
- 3. Kitâb Al-Wahdân
- 1. Kitâb Al-Afrâd
- 5. Kitâb Al-Aqrân
- 6. Kitâb Awlâd As-Şaḥâbah
- 7. Kitâb Afrâd Ash-Shâmiyyîn
- 8. Kitâb Mashâikh Mâlik
- 9. Kitâb Mashâikh Ath-Thaurî
- 10. Kitâb Mashâ'ikh Shu'bah
- 11. Kitâb Man Laisa Lahu Al-Irâwin-Wahid
- 12. Kitâbul-Mukhadoramîn
- 13. Kitâb Tabagât At-Tâba'în
- 14. Kitâb Al-Musnadil-Kabîr 'Alar-Rijâl
- 15. Kitâb Al-jâmi' 'Alal-Abwâb
- 16. Kitâbul-Musnad Aş-Sahîh
- 17. Kitâb Ḥadîth 'Amr bin Shu'aib
- 18. Kitâb At-Tamyîz
- 19. Kitâbul-'Ilal
- 20. Kitâb Suwâlât Ahmad bin Hanbal
- 21. Kitâb Awhâm Al-Muḥaddithîn
- 22. Kitâb Al-Intifâ' Bi Ahbis-Sabâ'

The foregoing are the important works of Imâm Muslim, not a complete list of his writings.

29

Distinguishing features of Sahîh Muslim

According to Hâfiz Ibn 'Asâkir and Imâm Hâkim, Muslim wanted to divide his book, Saḥîḥ Muslim, into two parts, the first part containing sound Aḥâdîṭh transmitted by narrators of the First Level (Tabaqah) and the second part containing sound Aḥâdîṭh transmitted by narrators of the Second Level but he could only complete the first part before his death. This means that Saḥîḥ Muslim is a work of his later years. A look at his works reveals that he worked with great devotion on the transmitters of Aḥâdîṭh and wrote many books on that subject. His works listed above, from 1 to 13, are an example. Likewise, he collected Aḥâdîṭh and narrators in various formats (14 to 17) and wrote books (18 to 21) exclusively on erroneous impressions (Auhâm) and defects ('Ilal). His last book dealing with juristic issues is a good illustration of his written works.

^[1] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/561.

^[2] Tadhkiratul-Ḥuffâz lidh-Dhahabî.

^[3] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/570.

^[4] Al-Hittah Fî Dhikr As-Sahah As-Sittah: 286

Saḥîḥ Muslim is the culmination of his skill. All the works preceding it were, in fact, a preparatory ground, the foundation of his work yet to come because a book like Saḥîḥ Muslim could not be written without a complete mastery over the biographies of narrators, texts, and defects ('Ilal). In those times Hadīṭh students were in search of a book containing narrations dealing with rituals, commandments, punishment and reward, what to do and what not to do, the sayings of Allâh's Messenger concerning them, the narrations occurring in the authentic books of Sunan, their chains of narrations accepted by scholars, grouped together in a well-ordered way, in a single work, not too long, and yet enabling one to dispense with other books in matters of faith, their proper comprehension, thinking, and deduction. [1]

30

نبده عن صحيح مسلم

Imâm Muslim felt the *Ummah* was in need of such a book. As he pondered over the importance and benefits of such a book, he resolved to compile a relatively short and well-arranged work out of a vast treasure of authentic *Ahâdîth*.

Criterion of selection

Imâm Muslim made it very clear that the narrations coming down to us from the Messenger of Allâh , have been divided into three sections. They cover three classes (*Tabaqât*) of narrators. He also said that he wanted to avoid repetition as best as he could except when it is necessary to repeat the whole text or part thereof in order to remove an '*Illa* found in the chain of narration or to describe an additional understanding.

"In the first section we want to list Ahâdîth clear of all defects and other things (other things meaning other technical weaknesses), that is, Ahâdîth whose narrators are considered very strong and very careful while narrating, their narrations (when compared with one another) do not vary nor (the facts and details) mixed up, things often found in case of other narrators." [2]

"After listing the Aḥâdîth of such narrators, we will turn to the Aḥâdîth of those narrators whose memory and skill are less than that of those belonging to the first section. Anyhow, in such people, though lesser in rank than those in the first section, no defect has been identified. Truth and devotion to knowledge are the qualities common in them, like 'Aṭâ' bin Sâ'ib, Yazîd bin Abû Ziyâd, Laith bin Abû Sulaym, etc. They are known for their knowledge and piety but the narrators of the first section rank higher, in skill and care, than those mentioned above. As for those who are considered as Accused (Muttaham) or their narrations (though fair in themselves) are Denounced (Munkar) and wrong, we have nothing to do with them." [3]

These are the points Imâm Muslim has himself mentioned in his preface to his book with respect to his criterion of selection.

But every scholar of *Ḥadîth* sciences has understood and described the method of Imâm Muslim in his own way.

Imâm Ḥâkim and Imâm Baihaqî have clearly stated that Imâm Muslim based his compilation of Sound Aḥâdîth (Ṣaḥîḥ) on the narrations belonging to the l'irst Level (Ṭabaqa) but he passed away before he could compile the Aḥâdîth of the Second Level narrators.^[1]

Imâm Baihaqî, quoting Imâm Muslim's companion Ibrâhîm bin Moḥammad bin Sufyân, said, quoting an authentic source: 'Imâm Muslim had composed three separate books: one being Ṣaḥîh, the second a collection of Aḥâdîth from 'Akrama, Ibn Isḥâq and those of the same class, and the third a collection of narrations from weak transmitters.^[2]

But the commentator of Ṣaḥiḥ Muslim, Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ disagreed with Imâm Ḥakim and Imâm Dâraquṭnî and opined: Imâm Muslim has brought all the three levels, which he had mentioned, in his book. I have looked into his book closely, scrutinized his division (and found that) he had dropped the Fourth Level as he had himself said. [3]

It should be noted that Imâm Muslim spoke of three levels or three classes and clearly stated that he would drop the third class while Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ claimed that Imâm Muslim had dropped the Fourth class, attributing this claim to be the statement of Imâm Muslim himself.

In other words, Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ has split up the two classes of Imâm Muslim into three classes, his fourth class being the third one according to Imâm Muslim.

Imâm Tha'labî, while giving his opinion, after quoting Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ, also mentions the four classes. He says: "He (Imâm Muslim) took Aḥâdîth from the First class and from the Second one except a few of the latter which he did not like. Then, from the Third class he took Aḥâdîth, which are not many, as corroborating evidences and follow-ups. If he had included Aḥâdîth from this class in his Ṣaḥîḥ, the book would have been twice as voluminous as it is now and his book, due to the inclusion of such Aḥâdîth, would have slipped down from the rank of Ṣaḥîh. The people of this class are 'Aṭâ' bin Sâ'ib, Laith, Yazîd bin Abû Ziyâd, and others like them. He did not take Aḥâdîth from these people except after he had taken a basic Ḥadîth (that is, after a Ḥadîth, the busis of which existed in the First class). [4]

^[1] Muqaddama Sahîh Muslim: 4.

^[2] Muqaddama Şahîh Muslim: 4.

^[3] Muqaddama Sahîh Muslim: 5.

[|] Sharh An-Nawawî, Mugaddama: 1/45.

¹⁴ An-Nikat 'Ala Kitâb Ibnus-Salâh: 1/434.

¹¹ Akmâlul-Mu'allim Bi Fawâ'id Muslim: 1/86.

¹⁴ Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/575.

It is clear from Imâm Tha'labî's statement that he also took Imâm Muslim's First class for two classes and took Imâm Muslim's Second class narrators like 'Aṭâ' bin Sâ'ib, Yazîd bin Abû Ziyâd and Laith bin Abû Sulaym for the Third class, and testified that Imâm Muslim had taken only a few Aḥâdîth of those narrators as corroborating evidence and follow-ups only when basic narrations from the First class had already been listed.

نبده عن صحيح مسلم

Does it not prove exactly what Imâm Muslim had already said in his preface that the Aḥâdîth of 'Aṭâ', Yazîd and Laith who belong to the Second class according to Imâm Muslim and to the Third class according to Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ and Tha'labî's, did not occur as basic Aḥâdîth in Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim? Does it not also prove what Imâm Ḥâkim, Imâm Baihaqî and, later on, Ḥâfiz Ibn Ḥajar have already said that Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim contained, basically, the Aḥâdîth of the First class? Do both the groups of Ummah holding two divergent opinions not acknowledge jointly that Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim, like Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî, consist of authentic Aḥâdîth? Ḥâfiz Ibn Ḥajar, after explaining it in detail in An-Nukat, said: "The matter became unclear and ambiguous to Qâḍi 'Ayâḍ and his followers (An-Nawawî)..."^[1]

All the foregoing details about the methodology followed by Imâm Muslim have been noted with reference to the viewpoints of the venerated A'imma of Hadith. All these persons, despite their divergent views on the Levels of narrators, concur that the basic Ahadith of Sahih Muslim and, in fact, even the corroborating Ahadith and their follow-ups are authentic, all of them.

Some contemporary scholars who are strongly biased for their particular juristic schools, on finding their practices in conflict with the Ahâdîth of Bukhârî and Muslim, try to exploit the differences of opinions of our worthy A'immah of old, which were purely of an academic nature, as a means to challenge and raise objections to the Ahâdîth, and the collections of authentic Ahâdîth, of the Messenger of Allâh &, and create doubts about them. They also tried to get the Islamic University of Al-Madînah somehow pass a socalled research thesis asserting falsely that in Sahîh Muslim every first Hadîth in each chapter is authentic while those that followed it were listed by Imâm Muslim only to hint at some hidden defects in them and that those hints, according to the thesis writer, can be discerned by outstanding memorizers (Huffaz) of Ahadûth. It is surprising, indeed, that none of the commentators of Sahîh Muslim, Hadîth scholars and jurists including Qâdi 'Ayâd ever pointed out that all the Ahâdîth following the first Hadîth in each chapter had such and such defect or weakness. On the contrary, the whole Ummah is unanimous that all the Ahâdîth of Sahîh Muslim are authentic, no matter whether they occur first or in the middle or at the end of a chapter.

According to Imâmul-Ḥarmain Abul-Ma'âlî Abdul Mâlik bin Mohammad Al-Juwninî, scholars of Ḥadîth sciences are unanimous that the attribution of Ahâdîth in the authentic collections of Bukhârî and Muslim to Allâh's Mcssenger ﷺ, is absolutely certain. [1]

That is also the opinion of Ibnuṣ-Ṣalâḥ, the *Imâm* of the methodology of *Hadîth* sciences. [2] The unanimity over this point has also been mentioned by **Ibnul-Athîr.**[3] Rather, Imâm Abû Naṣr 'Ubaidullâh bin Sa'eed bin Ḥâtim As-Snjzî (d. 444 AH), speaking about Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî, [4] and *Imâmul-Ḥarmain* Abul-Ma'âlî Abdul Mâlik bin Mohammad Al-Juwainî, speaking about both Al-Bukhârî and Muslim, remarked that if a person said on oath that the Ahâdîth considered by Bukhârî and Muslim as authentic are really so and that their attribution to the Messenger of Allâh , is right, his oath is flawless and that no atonement is obligatory on him. [5]

In every age scholars of $\underline{Had\hat{u}h}$ sciences took notice of the evil campaign of casting doubts about $\underline{Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h}$ or collections of $\underline{Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h}$ and laid bare those deceitful tactics. [6]

The Ummah is unanimous over the authenticity of Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî and Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim. Anyhow, all this while, scholars have been wrangling which of the twain has precedence over the other. Imâm An-Nawawî, the commentator of Muslim, says: Scholars concur that next to Qur'ân, the most authentic books are Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî and Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim. The Ummah has accepted them as such. Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî is more authentic, more profitable, and better in open and hidden perceptions. It is true that Imâm Muslim benefitted by Imâm Bukhârî and used to say that he was matchless in Ḥadîṭh sciences. All in all, Ṣaḥîḥ Bukhârî has precedence over Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim. That is the right view and that is the opinion of the majority of the scholars and the experts in the Ḥadîṭh sciences.

Imâm Abû 'Alî bin Ḥusain Nîshâpûrî and some scholars of the *Maghrib* (the Muslim countries of North Africa) give precedence to Ṣaḥîh Muslim but the majority of scholars insist that it is Ṣaḥîh Bukhârî which should be given precedence. Abû Bakr Ismâ'îlî, the great *Imâm*, Ḥâfīz and jurist, has explained It, with arguments, in his book Al-Madkhal. [7]

^[1] An-Nikat 'Ala Kitâb Ibnuș-Ṣalâḥ: 1/434.

^{|||} An-Nikat: 1/377.

Muqaddama Ibnuş-Şalâh: 40.

^[1] Jâmi'ul-Usool: 1/41.

Muqaddama Ibnuş-Şalâh ma'a At-Taqayyud wal, Îdhâh. pp 38, 39.

Al-Hittah Fee Dhikr As-Sahah As-Sittah, p 232.

[[]h] See Minhâjul-Imâm Muslim by Rabî' bin Hâdi Al-Madkhalî, formerly Head of Sunnah, Department of Higher Studies, Islamic University of Al-Madinah.

¹¹ Sharh Muslim lin-Nawawî, Muqaddama: 1/35.

Of course, Saḥîh Muslim has some distinguishing features peculiar to it. It was for those distinguishing features of Ṣaḥîh Muslim that some give it preference over Ṣaḥîh Bukhârî.

Imâm Nawawî says: "Imâm Muslim is peerless in one very beneficial characteristic, namely, he listed a *Ḥadîth* only in one place, proper to it, mentioning its several chains of narration and its different wordings. This makes it easy for a student to view the *Ḥadîth* in all its different facets and thus benefit by it. The several chains of narration, mentioned by Imâm Muslim for a single *Ḥadîth*, only increases a student's trust in the relevant *Ḥadîth*."^[1]

This is, in fact, a great achievement of Imâm Muslim. He lists a Hadîth along with its chain from one of his teachers and then goes on listing the chains of other teachers. Then, again, in case of a higher chain, he lists the chains of other narrators from one or several teachers and, in the case of the highest part of the chain, if a *Ḥadîth* was narrated by more than one Companion, he lists all the narrations, each with a separate chain. If a student reviews all the chains listed by Imâm Muslim for a single Hadîth, it becomes clear that Imâm Muslim did not confine himself to narrating a Hadîth from any one of his teachers and then continuing up to his earliest teacher but that he wrote down a Hadîth from several transmitters of that Hadîth from a single teacher. Imâm Muslim heard a Hadîth from a teacher and then heard the same Hadîth from different teachers at different times in order to ascertain whether those different teachers narrated the same Hadîth in the presence of different people at different times with the same wording. If he found no discrepancy, he considered those narrators to be accurate and reliable and their narrations to be authentic and sound. In the same way, he also checked the narrations of the teachers of his teachers by comparing their chains with those of other narrators. He went even further and collected the narrations of the students of the different Companions reporting from the Messenger of Allâh &, compared them with each other, ascertained and verified them, and then, finally, selected only those which appeared to be perfect, precise and accurate. Such utmost care is impossible for any court in any lawsuit with any number of witnesses.

Even the slightest difference in wording or chain of transmission like the difference between *Haddathana* and *Akhbarana* has been recorded and preserved by Imâm Muslim. He recorded even the slight difference in the text of a *Hadîth*. Thus it is that the *Sahîh* of Imâm Muslim became a peerless collection of authenticated *Ahâdîth*.

Unbroken chain of authentication

The authentic $Had\hat{u}h$ collections of $Bukh\hat{a}n$ and Muslim were excellent with respect to both the chains of transmission and the texts as well as their general utility in that they gave guidance in almost all walks of life. These collections won the praise and acclaim of $Had\hat{u}h$ scholars so much so that they themselves produced works containing the same $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$ as found in the collections of both $Bukh\hat{a}n$ and Muslim but with their own, independent chains consisting of a lesser number of sub-narrators, and named their works Mustakhraj. The Mustakhraj (literally, meaning 'extract, excerpt or partial copy') works containing the same $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$ with the same words, but with chains of narrators a degree or two lesser in rank, corroborated the $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$ of Al- $Bukh\hat{a}n$ and Muslim and, in effect, testified that other chains of narration also substantiate what $Bukh\hat{a}n$ and Muslim had attributed to the Messenger of Allâh E. This wonderful system of validation and authentication continued for centuries.

The following are the names of some of the leading *Ḥadith* scholars who produced *Mustakhraj* works on *Ṣahîh Muslim*:

- 1. Abû Bakr bin Moḥammad bin Rajâ' (d. 286 AH).
- 2. Az-Zâhid Abû Ja'far Aḥmad bin Ḥamadân Al-Hîrî (d. 311 AH).
- 3. Abû 'Awânah Ya'qûb bin Ishâq Al-Isfarâyînî (d. 316 AH).
- 4. Abûl-Walîd Ḥassân bin Moḥammad Al-Faqîh (d. 344 AH).
- 5. Al-Imâm Abû 'Âlî Al-Masterjasî (d. 365 AH).
- 6. Abû Hâmid Ahmad bin Mohammad Ash-Shârkî Al-Harawî (d. 369 AH).^[1]
- 7. Abû Bakr Mohammad bin 'Abdullâh bin Zakariyya Al-Jouzagî (d. 388 AH). [2]
- 8. Abû Bakr Aḥmad bin Moḥammad bin Aḥmad Al-Khawârizmî Al-Barqânî (d. 425 AH).
- 9. Abû Nu'aim Aḥmad bin 'Abdullâh bin Aḥmad Al-Asbâhânî (d. 430 AH). [3]

It is clear from the foregoing evidence that no neutral person with a sound mind can ever accept the objections being hurled continuously by the deniers of Ahâdîth motivated merely by rancour, malice and prejudice.

The total number of $Ah\hat{a}lth$ in the $Sah\hat{a}h$ (authentic) collection of Muslim (without counting the repetitions) is four thousand. Counting the repetitions, they total seven thousand two hundred and twenty five. Imam Muslim made this selection out of three hundred thousand $Ah\hat{a}lth$.

^[1] Sharh Muslim lin-Nawawî, Muqaddama: 1/34.

^[1] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 16 / 291, 292.

^[2] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12 / 569, 570.

^[3] Op. cit., marginal note: 12 / 570

^[4] Al-Ḥiṭṭah Fî <u>Dh</u>ikr Aṣ-Ṣaḥaḥ As-Sittah, p. 232.

It is to be noted that the number, three hundred thousand, does not mean three hundred thousand narrations or texts of narrations. Counting can best be understood by the following example: if a $T\hat{a}bi\hat{i}$ narrates from a Companion of the Messenger of Allâh \hat{z} , it is counted as one $\hat{H}ad\hat{i}\underline{t}h$. If two $\hat{T}\hat{a}bi\hat{i}\hat{n}$ narrate (the same $\hat{H}ad\hat{i}\underline{t}h$) from him, they are counted as two $\hat{A}h\hat{a}d\hat{i}\underline{t}h$. Similarly, if the students of $\hat{T}\hat{a}bi\hat{i}\hat{n}$ narrate the same $\hat{H}ad\hat{i}\underline{t}h$ from them, the number of $\hat{A}h\hat{a}d\hat{i}\underline{t}h$ will increase still further, the number increasing as the number of transmitters. So three hundred thousand $\hat{A}h\hat{a}d\hat{i}\underline{t}h$ mean only three hundred thousand narrations, each with a different chain. Ignorance of this counting method often gives rise to a lot of misunderstanding.

Imâm Muslim welcomed criticism

The works of <code>Hadîth</code> scholars were very transparent. Their writings were scrutinized minutely, word by word, and this continues to this day. Imâm Muslim put his <code>Saḥîh</code> before the great experts and students of <code>Hadîth</code> sciences of his times. In his own lifetime, copies of his <code>Ṣaḥîh</code> spread far and wide and a cycle of critical appreciation of his work began. Among his critics were the distinguished <code>Hadîth</code> scholars of his times including his own teachers.

Sa'eed Al-Bardha'î says: "Someone brought a copy of Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim to Al-Imâm Abû Zur'ah. He went through it. As he came across the narration of Asbâṭ bin Naṣr, he commented: 'Oh, how far it is from being sound.' As he glanced over the narration of Qaṭan bin Naṣîr, he remarked: 'It is a high tide (sweeping along with it all the rubbish).' When he saw the narration of Aḥmad bin 'Eisa, he pointed to his tongue (as if he wanted to say that he also narrated fabricated Aḥâdîṭh). Then he remarked: 'Does he (Imâm Muslim) narrate from such people and drop (high-ranking) narrators like Ibn 'Ajlân. He gives a chance to innovators (deniers of the authority of Aḥâdîṭh) to blame Ḥadīṭh scholars and say that their Ahâdîṭh are not authentic." [1]

According to Tadhkiratul-Ḥuffâz, Abû Zur'ah Al-Imâm Ḥâfizul-'Aṣr Ubaidulllâh bin 'Abdul-Karîm bin Yazîd Ar-Râzî was a great Ḥadîth scholar of his times. In memorizing Aḥâdîth, intelligence, devoutness, sincerity and acts of piety, he was counted among the matchless. His own teachers collected Aḥâdîth from him and narrated them. Great scholars like Imâm Muslim, his cousin Ḥâfiz Abû Ḥâtim, Imâm Tirmidhî, Ibn Mâjah, Nasâ'î, Abû Dawûd, Abû 'Awânah, Sa'eed bin 'Amr Al-Bardha'î, Ibn Ḥâtim, Moḥammad bin Al-Ḥussain Al-Qaṭṭân were his pupils. Imâm Bukhârî said that Aḥmad bin Ḥanbal told him: When Abû Zur'ah came to our house as a guest, my father said: 'Young man, the discourse you had with the Sheikh is, in fact, a reward from Allâh for

my voluntary prayers. According to San'ânî, Abû Zur'ah is to us (that is, to *Hadîth* scholars) like Ahmad bin Hanbal. [1]

In the light of his teacher's critical remarks, Imâm Muslim moved to explain his standpoint. Bardha'î said that he went to Nîshâpûr and informed Imâm Muslim of Abû Zur'ah's comments on his work. He replied: "Took only those Ahâdîth from narrators like Asbât, Qaṭtân and Aḥmad bin 'Eisâ which had already existed (in the compilations of Aḥâdîth) from trustworthy narrators. I took from people like Asbât because their Aḥâdîth had a lesser number of subnarrators while those of the trustworthy narrators had a relatively large number of sub-narrators. The Ahâdîth in my collection are known, with chains of trustworthy narrators."

Later on, Imâm Muslim went to see Ibn Wârah, a famous Ḥadîth scholar. [2] He made the same comments as those made by Abû Zur'ah. This shows that the observations of the experts were similar. Imâm Muslim explained his point of view and remarked: I said that the Aḥâdîth are authentic. I did not say that the Aḥâdîth I did not include in my book (like those of Ibn 'Ajlân and others) are weak. Ibn Wârah was satisfied and read out his narrations to Imâm Muslim. [3]

Since Imâm Muslim's narrations were supported with both high and low chains, he welcomed the criticism of his book by Abû Zur'ah, his teacher and the greatest <code>Hadîth</code> scholar in those times, and put his book in front of him. Imâm Muslim expunged from his book each <code>Hadîth</code> which Abû Zur'ah pointed out to be defective or objectionable for some reason (even though Imâm Muslim disagreed with him) and documented and referenced only those <code>Aḥâdîth</code> (that is, listed the <code>Aḥâdîth</code> with the same chains of narrations) which Abû Zur'ah considered to be sound and free from every defect or weakness. After taking so much precaution and exercising so much discretion, he became sure that if experts of <code>Ḥadîth</code> sciences tried to select <code>Aḥâdîth</code> based on the most authentic possible chains of narration for as long as two hundred years, they could not rely upon <code>Aḥâdîth</code> other than those listed by him in his authentic collection. ^[4]

^[1] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12 / 571.

Tadhkiratul-Ḥuffâz: 2/106.

A great and trustworthy memorizer of Ahââîth, Abû 'Abdullâh Moḥammad bin Muslim bin 'Uthmân bin Wârah Ar-Râzî was a student of Abû 'Âşim, Al-Faryâbî, Abû Nu'aim, and Abul-Mughîrah 'Abdul-Quddûs and one of the teachers of Imâm An-Nasâ'î and Imâm Al-Bukhârî. Bukhârî, in his compilations other than Şaḥîh, took narrations from him. Abû Bakr bin Abû Shaibah said: 'I never saw a person who knew Aḥâdîth by heart better than Ibnul-Furât, Ibn Wârah and Abû Zar'ah. According to Bukhârî, there was none like Abu Hâtim, Abû Zar'ah and Abû Wârah.

^[3] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/571.

^[4] Sîr A'lâmun-Nubalâ': 12/568.

The works of Imâm Bukhârî and Imâm Muslim were not accepted just for their great technical skills. Rather, they were accepted because the rules and the methodologies they adopted were critically reviewed and then each *Ḥadîth* they had listed was reviewed and probed critically and vigorously in the light of their own rules. And this critical work continued, unabated and with great vigour, for centuries.

Among his critics were Imâm Dârquṭnî, Imâm Ḥâkim and several other scholars expert in the Science of Validation (Al-Jarḥ Wat-Ta'dîl). In the meanwhile, a vigorous criticism continued, supporting and opposing him. Even today, there is no bar on criticism of his works. As a result of this criticism and counter-criticism, all the Ḥadîth scholars of the Orthodox Schools of Islamic Jurisprudence are unanimous that the authentic collections of Bukhârî and Muslim are correct, next to the Qur'ân, and the ascription of the sayings and acts mentioned therein to the Messenger of Allâh ﷺ, is right. [1]

May Allâh help us preserve the *Aḥâdîth* as well as act by them, diffuse the light of *Sunnah* far and wide, grace the endeavours of scholars, servants and students of *Ḥadîth* with His acceptance. Amen.

Mohammad Yahva

Bukhârî and Muslim Section Research Wing, Dar-us-Salam Lahore, Pakistan

Introduction by Imâm Muslim

In the Name of Allâh, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful.

Praise be to Allâh, the Lord of the Worlds, and the (blessed) end is for those who achieve *Taqwa*. May Allâh send blessings and peace upon Muḥammad, the Scal (Last) of the Prophets, and upon all the Prophets and Messengers.

You - may Allâh have mercy on you - were inspired by your Creator to research and find out about the reports that have been narrated from the Messenger of Allâh & about the teachings, rules, and regulations of Islam, and what they say about reward and punishment, exhortations and warnings, and other issues, along with the chains of narration with which they were narrated and circulated among the scholars. You wanted - may Allâh guide you - to have them written down and compiled in one place, and you asked me to write them down in a brief manner in this book, without too much repetition, because you thought that too much repetition would distract you from your goal of understanding them and deriving knowledge from them. What you asked me to do - may Allâh honor you - I went back and thought about it, and the consequences of it, which are going to be good and beneficial, if Allâh wills. When you asked me to take on this task, I thought that if I managed to do it, and was able to complete it, the first one to benefit from it would be myself in particular, before anyone else. This is so for many reasons, which it would take too long to explain here. But in brief. I would say that presenting a few of these reports in a proper manner is easier, than dealing with many of them. This is especially so for an ordinary man who does not have deep knowledge and would not be able to distinguish between them except, with the help of others. As this is the case, it is better to aim at writing down a few authentic reports rather than many which are unsound.

However, it is hoped that there may be some benefits in writing down a large number of reports and compiling those that are repeated, for the elite among the people, those who have been blessed with some knowledge and understanding, and the familiarity with their causes and reasons. That type of a person, if Allâh wills, would be able to deal with a lot and will benefit from the compilation of a large number of reports. But for ordinary people who do not share the knowledge of the elite, there is no point in their handling a large number of Ahadath when they are unable to understand a few.

^[1] An-Nikat li Ibn Ḥajar: 1/371-379.

So we will start writing what you have asked us to write — if Allâh wills — based on a condition which I will tell you about, which is that we are going to examine all the reports that have been narrated from the Messenger of Allâh , and divide them into three categories of narrators, without any repetition, unless there is a topic where repetition cannot be avoided because another report has additional material, or a different chain that may support a report that has some fault. Moreover the additional material, which is needed, may be dealt with as a separate <code>Hadîth</code>. In that case we have to repeat the <code>Hadîth</code> which has additional material, or refer to the additional material while narrating one <code>Hadîth</code> only, whenever possible. But referring to the additional material may be difficult, in which case it is easier and beneficial to repeat the <code>Hadîth</code>.

The first category: Here we will introduce reports that are safer from fault than others, and purer, in that their narrators are righteous people in the narration of $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$, reliable in what they reported, in whose narrations no severe differences are found in, nor erroneous confusion, as may be the case with many $Muhadith\hat{n}$ as is evident from the $Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h$ they narrated.

So we will write down the reports of this type, and follow that with the reports in whose chains there are some people who are not known for their good memory and reliability, unlike those in the first category, on the basis that even though they are of a lower status than the first, they are still known to be truthful and knowledgeable, such as 'Aṭâ' bin As-Sâ'ib, Yazîd bin Abî Ziyâd, Laith bin Abî Sulaim, and other narrators like them.

Although they are known among the scholars for the knowledge and honesty that we have described, others among their peers who are better known for their reliability and ability to narrate properly are higher in status and knowledge than them, because this is a higher level according to the scholars.

If you compare those three whom we have named, 'Aṭâ', Yazîd and Laith, with Manşûr bin Al-Mu'tamir, Sulaimân Al-A'mash and Ismâ'îl bin Abî Khâlid in their reliability in narration and their righteousness in it, you will see that they are different and do not even come close. There is no doubt among the people of Hadîth about that, because the soundness of memory and proper transmission of Hadîth by Manşûr, Al-A'mash and Ismâ'îl is well known to them, whereas it is not known at such a level in the case of 'Aṭâ', Yazîd and Laith.

Similarly, if you compare peers such as Ibn 'Awn and Ayyûb As-Sakhtiyânî with 'Awf bin Abî Jamîlah and Ash'ath Al-Humrânî, who were companions of Al-Hasan and Ibn Sîrîn, just as Ibn 'Awn and Ayyûb were companions of theirs, you will see a big difference between the first two and the last two in virtue and soundness of transmission, even though 'Awf and Ashath are not regarded as untruthful and insincere by people of knowledge, but still their case is that of the level we described them according to the people of knowledge.

We have given these as examples by name, only to explain to those who have no knowledge of how the scholars put people in different categories, so that a man of high status will not be underestimated and a man who is of lower status in terms of knowledge will not be overestimated, and each will be given his rightful position.

It has been mentioned that 'Âishah, may Allâh the Most High be pleased with her, said: "The Messenger of Allâh ﷺ commanded us to give people their rightful status."

Based on what we have mentioned above, we will compile the reports narrated from the Messenger of Allâh ## which you have asked of us.

With regard to the reports that have been narrated from people whom the people of $\underline{Had\hat{u}h}$, or most of them, consider accused, we will not waste our time by narrating their $\underline{Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h}$; such as 'Abdullâh bin Miswar, Abî Ja'far Al-Madâ'inî, 'Amr bin \underline{Kh} âlid, 'Abdul-Quddûs Ash-Shâmî, Muḥammad bin Sa'îd Al-Maṣlûb, Ghiyâth bin Ibrâhîm, Sulaimân bin 'Amr Abû Dawûd An-Nakha'î, and others of their ilk who were accused of fabricating $\underline{Ah\hat{a}d\hat{u}h}$ and making up reports.

Similarly, with regard to those whose narrations are mostly *Munkar* or mistaken, we refrained as well from narrating their *Aḥâdîth*.

The sign of *Munkar* in the *Ḥadîth* of a *Muḥaddith* is if his narration is compared to a *Ḥadîth* narrated by one of the people who has a good memory and is of good character, the reports are different and they have hardly anything in common. If most of the reports narrated by a person are like that, then his narrations will not be used.

Among this category of *Muḥaddithîn* are: 'Abdullâh bin Muḥarrar, Yaḥya bin Abî Unaisah, Al-Jarrâḥ bin Al-Minhâl Abû Al-'Aṭûf, 'Abbâd bin Kathîr, Husain bin 'Abdullâh bin Dumairah, 'Umar bin Ṣuhbân and others like them who narrate *Munkar* in *Aḥâdîth*. We do not bother with their *Aḥâdîth* nor pay any attention to it.

Because of the ruling of the people of knowledge - which is known from their schools of thought - with regard to accepting a <code>Ḥadîth</code> that has been transmitted by only one person, that if his report agrees with some of the narration of the trustworthy among the people of knowledge, and those who have good memories, and his narration is otherwise in agreement with them upon scrutiny, then when this is the case, if he narrates some additional material that is not narrated by his companions, the additional material will be accepted.

But if you see someone relying on a scholar like Az-Zuhrî, with his great knowledge and large number of companions who excelled in transmitting his

Hadîth and the Hadîth or others, or like Hishâm bin 'Urwah - for their Ahâdîth are well known and shared among the scholars, and their companions transmitted their Hadîth with no dispute - and he narrated from one or both of them a number of Hadîth which are not known to any of their companions, and there are no other Sahîh Ahâdîth to support them, then it is not permissible to accept the Hadîth from this type of a person. And Allâh knows best.

We have explained something about the way of the people of *Ḥadîth*, and what anyone who wants to follow their way should do. We will discuss it more - if Allâh wills - in many places in this book, when discussing *Mu'allal* (deficient) reports as we come to them, in places where it is appropriate to comment, if Allâh the Most High wills.

After that - may Allâh have mercy upon you - were it not for what we have seen of the bad conduct of many of those who have appointed themselves as <code>Hadîth</code> scholars, who should have cast aside the <code>Da'îf</code> (weak) <code>Aḥâdîth</code> and <code>Munkar</code> reports, and who did not limit themselves to the well known <code>Ṣaḥîḥ</code> reports which have been transmitted by trustworthy narrators who are known for their truthfulness and honesty, so that much of what they tell the common folk who have no knowledge is not right and is transmitted by people who are not approved of, and whose reports are criticized by the <code>A'imma</code> of <code>Hadîth</code> such as <code>Mâlik</code> bin <code>Anas</code>, <code>Shu'bah</code> bin <code>Al-Ḥajjâj</code>, <code>Sufyân</code> bin 'Uyaynah, Yaḥya bin <code>Sa'îd</code> <code>Al-Qatṭân</code>, 'Abdur-Raḥmân bin Mahdî and other <code>A'imma</code> - then we would not have taken on this mission of distinguishing good reports from bad and writing them down, as you have asked us to do.

But because of what we have mentioned about the people spreading *Munkar* reports with *Da'îf* (weak) and unknown chains, and their narrating them to the common folk who do not recognize what is wrong with them, we felt motivated to respond to your request.

In the Name of Allâh, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful

Chapter 1. The Obligation Of Narrating From The Trustworthy And Ignoring The Liars; And The Warning Against Telling Lies About The Messenger Of Allâh

You should know, may Allâh guide you, that it is obligatory for everyone who can distinguish between authentic and unsound reports, and between trustworthy and accused narrators, not to narrate any report unless he is sure of the correctness of the narration and honesty of their narrators, and to avoid those which are narrated by accused narrators and the stubborn among the people of *Bid'ah* (innovation).

The evidence that what we are saying is what is required, is the saying of Allâh, Blessed be He and Most High: "O you who believe! If a Fâsiq (liar — evil person) comes to you with any news, verify it, lest you should harm people in ignorance, and afterwards you become regretful for what you have done. [1] And His, Most Sublime and Most Praised saying: ...Such as you

بِسْدِ اللَّهِ النَّهْنِ الرَّجَيْدِ

43

(المعجم ۱) - (بَابُ وجوب الرواية عن الثقات وترك الكذابين، والتحذير من الكذب على رَسول اللهِ ﷺ)

(التحفة ۱)

وَاعْلَمْ - وَقَقَكَ اللهُ تَعَالَىٰ - أَنَّ الْوَاجِبَ عَلَىٰ كُلِّ أَحَدٍ عَرَفَ التَّمْيِيزَ بَيْنَ صَحِيحِ الرِّوَايَاتِ وَسَقِيمِهَا، وَثِقَاتِ النَّاقِلِينَ لَهَا مِنَ الْمُتَّهَمِينَ - أَنْ لَا يَرْوِيَ مِنْهَا إِلَّا مَا عَرَفَ صِحَّةَ مَخَارِجِهِ وَالسِّتَارَةَ فِي نَاقِلِيهِ، وَأَنْ يَتَّقِي مِنْهَا مَا كَانَ مِنْهَا عَنْ أَهْلِ التُّهَمِ وَالْمُعَانِدِينَ مِنْ أَهْلِ التُهَمِ وَالْمُعَانِدِينَ مِنْ أَهْلِ التَّهَمِ وَالْمُعَانِدِينَ مِنْ أَهْلِ البَّكَارِةِ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ اللْهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ اللْهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ اللْهُ اللَّهُ اللْهُ الْ

وَالدَّلِيلُ عَلَىٰ أَنَّ الَّذِي قُلْنَا مِنْ هٰذَا هُوَ اللَّاذِمُ دُونَ مَا خَالَفَهُ، قَوْلُ اللهِ تَبَارَكَ هُوَ اللَّاذِمُ دُونَ مَا خَالَفَهُ، قَوْلُ اللهِ تَبَارَكَ وَتَعَالَىٰ ذِكْرُهُ: ﴿ يَتَأَيّٰهُمَا الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُواْ إِن جَاءَكُمُ فَاسِقُ بِنِبَا إِ فَتَبَيّنُواْ أَن تُصِيبُواْ قَوْمًا جَاءَكُمُ فَاسِيُّ فِنَا فَعَلَتُمْ نَدِمِينَ ﴿ بِجَهَلَةٍ فَنُصِّيحُواْ عَلَىٰ مَا فَعَلْتُمْ نَدِمِينَ ﴾ [الحجرات: ٦]. وقَالَ جَلَّ ثَنَاوُهُ: ﴿ وَقَالَ جَلَّ ثَنَاوُهُ: ﴿ وَقَالَ جَلَّ ثَنَاوُهُ: ﴿ وَقَالَ جَلَّ لَلْهُمُهُ لَهُمُ اللهُ الل

Al-Ḥujurât 49:6.

agree for witnesses... [1] And His [the Mighty and Sublime] saying: And take as witness two just persons from amongst you (Muslims)... [2]

The Verses that we have quoted prove that the report of a *Fâsiq* (liar or evil person) is to be rejected and not accepted, and that the testimony of one who is not just is to be rejected.

Even though there is a distinction between the meaning of 'report' and 'testimony' in some ways, yet most of their applied meanings are the same. because the report of a Fâsiq is not acceptable according to the scholars, just as his testimony is rejected by all of them. The Sunnah indicates that Munkar reports are to be rejected just as the Qur'an indicates that the report of a Fâsiq is to be rejected. This is seen in the wellknown report from the Messenger of Allâh "Whoever narrates a Hadîth from me knowing that it is false, then he is one of the liars."^[3]

[1] It was narrated that Al-Mughîrah bin Shu'bah said: "The Messenger of Allâh said that." And he mentioned the above narrated Hadîth, that "Whoever

[وَقَالَ [عَزَّ وَجَلً]: ﴿ وَأَشْهِدُواْ
 (وَقَالَ [عَزْلُ مَنْكُمُ ﴾ [الطلاق: ٢]. فَدَلَّ بِمَا ذَكَرْنَا مِنْ هَذِهِ الْآيِ - أَنَّ خَبَرَ الْفَاسِقِ سَاقِطٌ غَيْرُ مَقْبُولٍ، وَأَنَّ شَهَادَةَ غَيْر الْعَدْلِ مَرْدُودَةً.

بَابُ وجوب الرواية عن الثقات...

وَالْخَبَرُ، وَإِنْ فَارَقَ مَعْنَاهُ مَعْنَى الشَّهَادَةِ فِي بَعْضِ الْوُجُوهِ، فَقَدْ يَجْتَمِعَانِ فِي أَعْظَم مَعَانِيهِمَا، إِذْ كَانَ خَبَرُ الْفَاسِقِ فِي أَعْظَم مَعَانِيهِمَا، إِذْ كَانَ خَبَرُ الْفَاسِقِ غَيْرَ مَقْبُولٍ عِنْدَ أَهْلِ الْعِلْمِ. كَمَا أَنَّ شَهَادَتَهُ مَرْدُودَةٌ عِنْدَ جَمِيعِهِمْ، وَدَلَّتِ السُّتَةُ عَلَىٰ نَفْي رِوَايَةِ المُنْكَرِ مِنَ السُّتَةُ عَلَىٰ نَفْي رِوَايَةِ المُنْكَرِ مِنَ الْأَخْبَارِ، كَنَحْوِ دَلَالَةِ الْقُرْآنِ عَلَىٰ نَفْي الْأَخْرُ الْمَشْهُورُ عَنْ خَبِرِ الْفَاسِقِ، وَهُوَ الْأَئْرُ الْمَشْهُورُ عَنْ رَسُولِ اللهِ ﷺ: «مَنْ حَدَّثَ عَنِي بِحَدِيثٍ رَسُولِ اللهِ ﷺ: «مَنْ حَدَّثَ عَنِي بِحَدِيثٍ يُرَىٰ الْمَشْهُورُ عَنْ يُرَىٰ الْمَشْهُورُ عَنْ يُرَىٰ لَيْ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ يَعْفِي اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ يَعْفِي اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ يَعْفِي اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهُ عَلَىٰ اللهُ اللهِ يَعْفَى اللهِ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهُ اللهِ يَعْفِي اللهِ اللهِ يَعْلَىٰ الْمَالُهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ عَلَىٰ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِلْ اللهِ ال

[1] حَدَّثَنَاهُ أَبُو بَكْرِ بْنُ أَبِي شَيْبَةَ: حَدَّثَنَا وَكِيعٌ عَنْ شُعْبَةَ، عَنِ الْحَكَمِ، عَنْ عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَٰنِ بْنِ أَبِي لَيْلَىٰ، عَنْ سَمُرَةَ بْنِ جُنْدَبٍ؛ وَحَدَّثَنَاهُ أَبُو بَكْرِ بْنُ أَبِي شَيْبَةَ -

narrates a *Ḥadîth* from me knowing that it is false, then he is one of the liars."

Chapter 2. The Seriousness Of Telling Lies About The Messenger of Allâh

|2]1 - (1) It was narrated from Rib'î bin Ḥirâsh that he heard 'Alî, may Allâh be pleased with him, delivering a <u>Khutbah</u> in which he said: "The Messenger of Allâh said: 'Do not tell lies about me, for whoever tells lies about me will enter the Fire."

[3] 2 - (2) It was narrated that Anas bin Mâlik said: "What prevents me from narrating many Ahâdîth to you is the fact that the Messenger of Allâh said: Whoever tells a lie about me deliberately, let him take his seat in the Fire."

|4| 3 - (3) It was narrated that Abû Hurairah said: "The Messenger of Allâh said: Whoever tells a lie about me

أَيْضًا: حدثَنَا وَكِيعٌ، عَنْ شُعْبَةَ وَسُفْيَانَ، عَنْ شُعْبَةَ وَسُفْيَانَ، عَنْ حَبِيبٍ، عَنْ مَيْمُونِ بْنِ أَبِي شَبِيبٍ، عَنْ مَيْمُونِ بْنِ أَبِي شَبِيبٍ، عَنِ الْمُغِيرَةِ بْنِ شُعْبَةَ قَالًا: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللهِ ﷺ ذَلِكَ.

(المعجم ٢) - (بَابُ تغليظ الكذب على رسول الله ﷺ) (التحفة ٢)

[٢] ١-(١) وحَدَّثَنَا أَبُو بَكْرِ بْنُ أَبِي شَيْبَةَ: حَدَّثَنَا غُنْدُرٌ عَنْ شُعْبَةَ؛ وَحَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنَّى وَابْنُ بَشَارٍ قَالاً: حَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ جَعْفَرٍ: حَدَّثَنَا شُعْبَةُ، عَن مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ جَعْفَرٍ: حَدَّثَنَا شُعْبَةُ، عَن مَنْصُورٍ، عَنْ رِبْعِيِّ بْنِ حِرَاشٍ أَنَّهُ سَمِعَ عَلِيًّا - رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُ - يَخْطُبُ، قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللهِ عَلَيًّا: «لَا تَكْذِبُوا عَلَيَّ فَإِنَّهُ مَنْ يَكْذِبُوا عَلَيَّ فَإِنَّهُ مَنْ يَكْذِبُوا عَلَيَّ فَإِنَّهُ مَنْ يَكِي النَّارَ».

[٣] ٢-(٢) وحَدَّثَنِي زُهَيْرُ بْنُ حَرْبِ: حَدَّنَا إِسْمَاعِيلُ يَعْنِي ابْنَ عُلَيَّةَ، عَنْ عَبْدِ الْعَزِيزِ بْنِ صُهَيْبٍ، عَنْ أَنسِ بْنِ مَالِكِ؟ الْعَزِيزِ بْنِ صُهَيْبٍ، عَنْ أَنسِ بْنِ مَالِكِ؟ [أَنَّهُ] قَالَ: إِنَّهُ لَيَمْنَعُنِي أَنْ أُحَدِّنُكُمْ حَدِيثًا كَثِيرًا؟ أَنَّ رَسُولَ اللهِ عَلَيْ قَالَ: «مَنْ تَعَمَّدَ عَلَيْ كَذِبًا فَلْيَتَبَوَّأُ مَقْعَدَهُ مِنَ النَّارِ».

[٤] ٣-(٣) وحَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عُبَيْدِ الْغُبَرِيُّ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَوَانَةَ، عَنْ أَبِي حَصِينٍ، عَنْ أَبِي صَالِحٍ، عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ

^[1] Al-Baqarah 2:282.

^[2] Aṭ-Ṭalâq 65:2.

^[3] And it is also read: "Then he is one of the two liars."

47

deliberately, let him take his seat in the Fire.""

[5] 4 - (4) 'Alî bin Rabî'ah Al-Wâlibî said: "I came to the Masjid when Al-Mughîrah was the governor of Al-Kûfah, and Al-Mughîrah said: I heard the Messenger of Allâh say: "To lie about me is not like lying about anyone else. Whoever tells a lie about me deliberately, let him take his seat in the Fire."

[6] A similar report (as no. 5) was narrated from Al-Mughîrah bin Shu'bah from the Prophet ... but he did not mention: "To lie about me is not like lying about anyone else."

Chapter 3. The Prohibition Of **Speaking Of Everything That** One Hears

[7] 5 - (5) It was narrated that Hafs bin 'Âşim said: "The Messenger of Allâh said: 'It is sufficient lying for a man to speak of everything that he hears."

قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللهِ ﷺ: «مَنْ كَذَبَ عَلَىً مُتَعَمِّدًا فَلْيَتَبَوَّأُ مَقْعَدَهُ مِنَ النَّارِ».

بَابُ وجوب الرواية عن الثقات...

[٥] \$ - (٤) وحَدَّثنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عَبْدِ اللهِ بْن نُمَيْر: حَدَّثَنَا أَبِي: حَدَّثَنَا سَعِيدُ بْنُ عُبَيْدٍ: حَدَّثَنَا عَلِيُّ بْنُ رَبِيعَةَ الْوَالِبِيُّ قَالَ: أَتَنْتُ الْمَسْجِدَ وَالْمُغِيرَةُ أَمِيرُ الْكُوفَةِ -قَالَ - فَقَالَ الْمُغرَةُ: سَمعْتُ رَسُولَ الله عَلَيْ يَقُولُ: «إِنَّ كَذِبًا عَلَىَّ لَيْسَ كَكَذِبِ عَلَىٰ أَحَدٍ، فَمَنْ كَذَبَ عَلَىَّ مُتَعَمِّدًا فَلْتَتَوَّأُ مَقْعَدَهُ مِنَ النَّارِ».

[7] وحَدَّثَنِي عَلِيُّ بْنُ حُجْرِ السَّعْدِيُّ: حَدَّثَنَا عَلِيُّ بْنُ مُسْهِر: أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ قَيْس الْأَسَدِيُّ، عَنْ عَلِيٍّ بْنِ رَبِيعَةَ الْأَسَدِيِّ، عَنِ الْمُغِيرَةِ بْنِ شُعْبَةَ عَنِ النَّبِيِّ عَلَيْهُ بِمِثْلِهِ، وَلَمْ يَذْكُرْ: «إِنَّ كَذِبًا عَلَى لَيْسَ كَكَذِب عَلَىٰ أَحَدٍ».

(المعجم ٣) - (بَاتُ النهي عن الحديث بكل ما سمع) (التحفة ٣)

[٧] ٥-(٥) وحَدَّثَنَا عُبَيْدُ اللهِ بْنُ مُعَاذٍ الْعَنْبُرِيُّ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبِي؛ وَحَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنَّىٰ: حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَن بْنُ مَهْدِيِّ قَالًا: حَدَّثَنَا شُعْبَةُ عَنْ خُبَيْب بْن عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَانِ، عَنْ حَفْص بْن عَاصِم، قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللهِ ﷺ:

Narrating from the Trustworthy...

«كَفَىٰ بِالْمَرْءِ كَذِبًا أَنْ يُحَدِّثَ بِكُلِّ مَا

[٨] وحَدَّثَنَا أَبُو بَكْر بْنُ أَبِي شَيْبَةَ: حَدَّثَنَا عَلِيُّ بْنُ حَفْص: حَدَّثَنَا شُعْبَةُ، عَنْ خُبَيْب بْن عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَل، عَنْ حَفْص بْن عَاصِمٍ، عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ عَنِ النَّبِيِّ عَلَيْهُ بِمِثْل ذَلِكَ.

[٩] وحَدَّثني يَحْيَى بْنُ يَحْيَىٰ: أَخْبَرَنَا هُشَيْمٌ عَنْ سُلَيْمَانَ التَّيْمِيِّ، عَنْ أَبِي عُثْمَانَ النَّهْدِيِّ قَالَ: قَالَ عُمَرُ بْنُ الْخَطَّابِ -رَضِيَ اللهُ تَعَالَى عَنْهُ-: بحَسْبِ الْمَرْءِ مِنَ الْكَذِب أَنْ يُحَدِّثَ بِكُلِّ مَا سَمِعَ.

[١٠] وحَدَّثَني أَبُو الطَّاهِر أَحْمَدُ بْنُ عَمْرُو بْن عَبْدِ اللهِ بْن عَمْرُو بْن سَرْحٍ قَالَ: أَخْبَرَنَا ابْنُ وَهْبٍ، قَالَ: قَالَ لِي مَالِكُ: ٱعْلَمْ أَنَّهُ لَيْسَ يَسْلَمُ رَجُلٌ حَدَّثَ بكُلِّ مَا سَمِعَ، وَلَا يَكُونُ إِمَامًا أَبَدًا، وَهُوَ يُحَدِّثُ بِكُلِّ مَا سَمِعَ.

[11] حَدَّثنا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنَّلِ قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَلِ قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا شُفْيَانُ، عَنْ أَبِي إِسْحَلَق، عَنْ أَبِي الْأَحْوَس، عَنْ عَبْدِ اللهِ قَالَ: بِحَسْبِ الْمَرْءِ مِنَ الْكَذِبِ أَنْ يُحَدِّثَ بِكُلِّ مَا سَمِعَ.

[١٢] وحَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْمُثَنَّا قَالَ:

181 A similar report (as no. 7) was narrated from Abû Hurairah, from the Prophet 3.

[9] 'Umar bin Al-Khattâb, may Allâh be pleased with him, said: "It is sufficient lying for a man to speak of everything that he hears."

[10] Ibn Wahb said: "Mâlik said to me: 'You should know that no man who speaks of everything that he hears will be free of faults, and he will never be an Imâm who speaks of everything that he hears."

[11] It was narrated that 'Abdullâh said: "It is sufficient lying for a man to speak of everything that he hears."

[12] Muhammad bin Al-

48

Muthanna said: "I heard 'Abdur-Raḥmân bin Mahdî say: 'No man will be a *Imâm* whose example is followed unless he refrains from (speaking of) some of the things that he hears."

[13] It was narrated that Sufyân bin Ḥusain said: "Iyâs bin Mu'âwiyah asked me: 'I see that you are fond of learning Qur'ân. Recite a Sûrah to me and explain it so that I may see how much you have learned.' I did that and he said to me: 'Remember what I am going to say to you: "Beware of narrating the distorted reports, for anyone who does that only humiliates himself and the people will deny his narrations."

[14] It was narrated from 'Ubaidullâh bin 'Abdullâh bin 'Utbah that 'Abdullâh bin Mas'ûd said: "You will never narrate a Hadîth to the people that beyond their grasp, except that it will be a source of Fitnah (confusion) for some of them."

Chapter 4. The Prohibition Of Narrating From *Datf* (Weak) Narrators, And Being Cautious With Them

[15] 6 - (6) It was narrated from Abû Hurairah that the Messenger of Allâh said: "At the end of my *Ummah* there will

سَمِعْتُ عَبْدَ الرَّحْمَاٰنِ بْنَ مَهْدِيٍّ يَقُولُ: لَا يَكُونُ الرَّجُلُ إِمَامًا يُقْتَدَىٰ بِهِ حَتَّىٰ يُمْسِكَ عَنْ بَعْضِ مَا سَمِعَ.

[۱۳] وحَدَّثنا يَحْيَى بْنُ يَحْيَى: أَخْبَرَنَا عُمَرُ بْنُ عَلِيٍّ بْنِ مُقَدَّم، عَنْ سُفْيَانَ بْنِ حُسَيْنِ قَالَ: سَأَلَنِي إِيَاسُ بْنُ مُعَاوِيَةً فَقَالَ: إِنِّي أَرَاكَ قَدْ كَلِفْتَ بِعِلْمِ الْقُرْآنِ فَقَالَ: إِنِّي أُرَاكَ قَدْ كَلِفْتَ بِعِلْمِ الْقُرْآنِ فَقَالَ: إِنِّي شُورَةً، وَفَسِّرْ حَتَّى أَنْظُرَ فِيمَا عَلِمْتَ - قَالَ -: فَفَعَلْتُ، فَقَالَ لِي: عَلِمْتَ - قَالَ -: فَفَعَلْتُ، فَقَالَ لِي: الْحُفَظْ عَلَيَّ مَا أَقُولُ لَكَ: إِيَّاكَ وَالشَّنَاعَةَ فِي الْحَدِيثِ، فَإِنَّهُ قَلَّمَا حَمَلَهَا أَحَدٌ إِلَّا ذَوْ فِي نَفْسِهِ وَكُذِّبَ فِي حَدِيثِهِ.

[18] وحَدَّمَنِي أَبُو الطَّاهِرِ وَحَرْمَلَةُ بْنُ يَحْمَىٰ قَالَ: أَخْبَرَنَا ابْنُ وَهْبٍ قَالَ: أَخْبَرَنِي يُونُسُ عَنِ ابْنِ شِهَابٍ، عَنْ عُبَيْدِ اللهِ بْنِ عُتْبَةً، أَنَّ عَبْدَ اللهِ بْنَ مُسْعُودٍ قَالَ: مَا أَنْتَ بِمُحَدِّثٍ قَوْمًا حَدِيثًا لَا تَبْلُغُهُ عُقُولُهُمْ، إِلَّا كَانَ لِبَعْضِهِمْ فِتْنَةً. لَا لَهُ عُقُولُهُمْ، إِلَّا كَانَ لِبَعْضِهِمْ فِتْنَةً. (المعجم ٤) - (بَابُ النهي عن الرواية عن الرواية عن الضعفاء والاحتياط في تَحَمُّلِها) عن الضعفاء والاحتياط في تَحَمُّلِها)

[۱۰] ٦-(٦) وَحَدَّثَنِي مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ عَبْدِ اللهِ بْنِ نُمَيْرٍ وَزُهَيْرُ بْنُ حَرْبٍ قَالَا: حَدَّثَنَا be people who will narrate to you things that neither you nor your forefathers ever heard. Beware of them and stay away from them."

[16] 7 - (7) Muslim bin Yasâr narrated that he heard Abû Hurairah say: "The Messenger of Allâh said: 'At the end of time there will be imposters and liars who will bring Aḥâdîth that neither you nor your forefathers ever heard. Beware of them and stay away from them, and do not let them mislead you or confuse you."

[17] It was narrated that 'Âmir bin 'Abdah said: "'Abdullâh said: 'The *Shaiṭân* appears in the form of a man, coming to people and telling them false reports. Then they disperse, and a man among them says: I heard a man whose face I recognize, but I do not know his name, telling me (such and such)."'

عَبْدُ اللهِ بْنُ يَزِيدَ قَالَ: حَدَّنَنِي سَعِيدُ بْنُ أَبِي أَتُو مَانِيءٍ عَنْ أَبِي أَتُو مَانِيءٍ عَنْ أَبِي عُثْمَانَ مُسْلِم بْنِ يَسَارٍ، عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ عَنْ رَسُولِ اللهِ ﷺ أَنَّهُ قَالَ: «سَيَكُونُ فِي آخِرِ أَمَّتِي أُنَاسٌ يُحَدِّنُونَكُمْ مَا لَمْ تَسْمَعُوا أَنْتُمْ وَلِيَّاهُمْ».

[١٦] ٧-(٧) وحَدَّثني حَرْمَلَةُ بْنُ عِمْرَانَ يَحْيَى بْنِ عَبْدِ اللهِ بْنِ حَرْمَلَةَ بْنِ عِمْرَانَ التَّجِيبِيُّ قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا ابْنُ وَهْبِ قَالَ: حَدَّثَنِي أَبُو شُرَيْحٍ، أَنَّهُ سَمِعَ شَرَاحِيلَ بْنَ يَسَارٍ أَنَّهُ سَمِعَ شَرَاحِيلَ بْنَ يَسَارٍ أَنَّهُ سَمِعَ أَبًا هُرَيْرَةَ يَقُولُ: قَالَ رَسُولُ سَمِعَ أَبًا هُرَيْرَةَ يَقُولُ: قَالَ رَسُولُ سَمِعَ أَبًا هُرَيْرَةَ يَقُولُ: قَالَ رَسُولُ كَذَّابُونَ، يَأْتُونَكُمْ مِنَ الْأَحَادِيثِ بِمَا لَمْ لَمْ لَمْ اللهَ عَلِيَّةً فَلَ اللهَ عَلْمَ مَنَ الْأَحَادِيثِ بِمَا لَمْ لَمْ لَمْ يَضِمُوا أَنْتُمْ وَلَا آبَاؤُكُمْ، فَإِيَّاكُمْ وَإِيَّاهُمْ، لَا يُضِلُونَكُمْ وَلِا يَفْتِنُونَكُمْ».

[۱۷] وَحَدَّنَى أَبُو سَعِيدِ الْأَشَجُّ: حَدَّثَنَا الْأَعْمَشُ عَنِ الْمُسَيَّبِ بْنِ رَافِعٍ، عَنْ عَامِرِ بْن عَبْدَةَ اللهِ: إِنَّ الشَّيْطَانَ لَيَتَمَثَّلُ فَالَ: قَالَ عَبْدُ اللهِ: إِنَّ الشَّيْطَانَ لَيَتَمَثَّلُ فِي صُورَةِ الرَّجُلِ، فَيَأْتِي الْقَوْمَ فَيُحَدِّنُهُمْ فِي صُورَةِ الرَّجُلِ، فَيَأْتِي الْقَوْمَ فَيُحَدِّنُهُمْ فِي صُورَةِ الرَّجُلِ، فَيَتْقَرَّقُونَ، فَيَقُولُ بِالْحَدِيثِ مِنَ الْكَذِبِ، فَيتَقَرَّقُونَ، فَيَقُولُ الرَّجُلُ مِنْهُمْ: سَمِعْتُ رَجُلًا أَعْرِفُ السَّمَةُ، يُحَدِّثُ .